

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/044,615	01/11/2002	Troy A. Miller	DEAU /37	9471	
7590 07/16/2003					
Delphi Technologies Inc. Legal Staff P.O. Box 5052 Mail Code 480-414-420 Troy, MI 48007-5052			EXAMINER		
			KRAMER, DEVON C		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3683		
			DATE MAILED: 07/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

M	
∞	

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/044,615	MILLER ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Devon C Kramer	3683		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 J	<u>uly 2003</u> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi	s action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
·	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw				
5) Claim(s) <u>5,10 and 13-17</u> is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-4,6-9,11 12 18</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
<u> </u>	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement			
	on Papers	cicotion requirement.			
9)□ 1	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•			
10)□ T	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)☐ objected to by the Exa	miner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. So	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) approved b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) 🔲 T	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received.			
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	have been received in Application	on No.		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office					

PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

Art Unit: 3683

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1) The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2) Claims 1-2 and 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Engel et al (5207300).

In reference to claim 1, Engel et al provides a suspension damper comprising: a cylinder (1) defining a cavity being substantially filled with a fluid; a piston (3) slidably positioned in the cylinder separating the cavity into a compression chamber and an extension chamber; a rod (2) coupled to the piston and extending through one of the chambers and exiting the cavity; a tapered interface (at threads or at insteps as rod attaches to piston) between the rod and the piston to thereby align the rod relative to the piston; a passage (5) through with the fluid moves between the extension chamber and the compression chamber during sliding of the piston in the cylinder; an air pressure actuated control valve assembly (8) responsive to an air pressure input for adjustment to and between an open position, a closed position, and at least one position intermediate the open and closed positions to control the movement of fluid in the passage between the extension and compression chambers (col 4 lines 9-15); wherein the damping force of the suspension damper is a function of the air pressure input; and wherein the tapered interface provides a fluid tight seal.

Art Unit: 3683

In reference to claim 2, Engel et al provides a suspension damper where a shoulder on a portion of the rod; and a confronting surface on a portion of the piston proximate the shoulder constitute the tapered interface.

Page 3

In reference to claim 11, Engel et al provides a suspension damper comprising: a cylinder (1) defining a cavity being substantially filled with a fluid; a piston (3) slidably positioned in the cylinder separating the cavity into a compression chamber and an extension chamber; a rod (2) coupled to the piston and extending through one of the chambers and exiting the cavity; a tapered interface (at threads or at insteps as rod attaches to piston) between the rod and the piston to thereby align the rod relative to the piston; a passage (5) through with the fluid moves between the extension chamber and the compression chamber during sliding of the piston in the cylinder; an air pressure actuated control valve assembly (8) responsive to an air pressure input for adjustment to and between an open position, a closed position, and at least one position intermediate the open and closed positions to control the movement of fluid in the passage between the extension and compression chambers (col 4 lines 9-15); wherein the damping force of the suspension damper is a function of the air pressure input; wherein the tapered interface provides a fluid tight seal; a uni-directional seal plate (8) mounted in the piston assembly and in communication with the air-pressure actuated control valve; wherein the uni-directional seal plate (8) is adapted from mounting in the piston assembly in a predetermined orientation.

In reference to claim 12, Engel et al provides a seal plate where a step extended around a perimeter thereof

Application/Control Number: 10/044,615 Page 4

Art Unit: 3683

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3) The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4) Claims 3-4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Engel et al in view of Wells et al (5038897).

Engel provides all of the limitations of the claim (please see 102 rejection of claim 1) including a fluid tight seal between the rod and piston, but lacks the teaching of a resistance weld interface between the rod and the piston.

Wells et al teaches a resistance-welded interface between the piston and the rod (col 1 lines 32-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the piston and rod assembly of Engel with the weld attachment as taught by Wells merely to provide an alternate means of attaching the two together and further to save money on production costs.

5) Claims 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Engel et al in view of de Molina (5725239). Application/Control Number: 10/044,615 Page 5

Art Unit: 3683

Engel teaches all of the claim limitations (see 102 rejection above), but lacks the teaching of controlling the valve in response to a function of weight and a condition of the road.

De Molina teaches the practice of varying the damping in response to a vehicle weight and a condition of a road.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the assembly of Engel with means to vary the damping rate in response to weight and road condition as taught by De Molina in order to provide a driver with a more comfortable ride and to improve the performance of the vehicle.

6) Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Engel in view of De Molina and further in view of Wells et al.

Engel as modified by de Molina provide all of the limitations of the claims (please see 102 rejection of claim 1), but lacks the teaching of a resistance weld interface between the rod and the piston.

Wells et al teaches a resistance-welded interface between the piston and the rod (col 1 lines 32-40).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the piston and rod assembly of Engel as modified by de Molina with the weld attachment as taught by Wells merely to provide an alternate means of attaching the two together and further to save money on production costs.

7) Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Engel et al (5207300) in view of Kruckemeyer et al (5690195).

Art Unit: 3683

Engel et al provides a suspension damper comprising: a cylinder (1) defining a cavity being substantially filled with a fluid; a piston (3) slidably positioned in the cylinder separating the cavity into a compression chamber and an extension chamber; a rod (2) coupled to the piston and extending through one of the chambers and exiting the cavity; a tapered interface (at threads or at insteps as rod attaches to piston) between the rod and the piston to thereby align the rod relative to the piston; a passage (5) through with the fluid moves between the extension chamber and the compression chamber during sliding of the piston in the cylinder; an air pressure actuated control valve assembly (8) responsive to an air pressure input for adjustment to and between an open position, a closed position, and at least one position intermediate the open and closed positions to control the movement of fluid in the passage between the extension and compression chambers (col 4 lines 9-15); wherein the damping force of the suspension damper is a function of the air pressure input; wherein the tapered interface provides a fluid tight seal; a uni-directional seal plate (8) mounted in the piston assembly and in communication with the air-pressure actuated control valve; wherein the uni-directional seal plate (8) is adapted from mounting in the piston assembly in a predetermined orientation. Engle lacks the claimed tapered interface.

Page 6

Kruckemeyer teaches the tapered interface between the rod and piston to align the rod and piston comprising a frustoconical section.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have provided the piston and rod assembly of Engel with the rod

Art Unit: 3683

attachment means as taught by Kruckemeyer et al in order to provide an alternate equivalent means of attaching a piston to a piston rod.

Allowable Subject Matter

8) Claims 5, 10, and 13-17 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

9) Applicant's arguments filed July 2, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In reference to claims 1 and 11, applicant argues that Engle does not provide an air pressure input for adjustment to and between an open position, a closed position, and at least one position intermediate the open and closed position. In order for the valve of Engle to move through an open position to a closed position, it has to pass through some position in between the two positions or an intermediate position. Please note that applicant does not state that the valve is maintained in an intermediate position to control fluid flow. Even if applicant does refine the claim to more clearly recite the positioning of the valve in the intermediate position, Engle provides an orifice (15) which is a functional equivalent of having a valve maintained in the intermediate position.

In reference to claims 3 and 4, applicant argues that Wells does not teach or suggest that the resistance-welded interface provides a fluid tight seal. Please note that Wells is used as a teaching reference for welding a rod to a piston. As stated in Wells,

Page 7

Art Unit: 3683

a piston rod to a piston.

"In the majority of shock absorbers and struts, the piston is either mounted on a threaded piston rod or welded to the piston rod." Welding is a common means to attach

In reference to claims 6-8, applicant argues that Molina does not teach "controlling an air pressure actuated control valve assembly... to control movement of fluid in the passage between the extension and compression chambers". Please note that Molina is used to teach the practice of varying the damping in response to a vehicle weight and a condition of a road. The examiner is not using the valve of Molina in the assembly of Engle, the practice of using a valve to vary the damping in response to a vehicle weight and a condition of a road is used from Molina and incorporated into Engle.

Conclusion

10) THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Page 8

Art Unit: 3683

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11) Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devon C Kramer whose telephone number is 703-305-0839. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jack Lavinder can be reached on 703-308-3421. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-3519 for regular communications and 703-308-3519 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-CHRISTOPHER P. SCHWA

1134.

DK

July 11, 2003

Page 9